Co-morbidity is the coexistence of more than one unrelated health problem in individuals. Early studies of co-morbidity mainly addressed the occurrence of one type of problem with an already existing one (such as mental disorder in a person with somatic illness) but, more recently, the simultaneous occurrence of unrelated health problems is receiving attention because of its frequency, impact, and implications for health services. This phenomenon is sometimes known as multimorbidity but because the term co-morbidity is more common in the literature, this commentary uses it to refer to both.

Most patients have more than one health problem at the same time. As the frequency of illness rises with age, the percentage of people with multiple diagnoses increases with age. Nevertheless, the extent of co-morbidity is greater (according to the chance likelihood of more than one disease being present) in children.\(^1\)

At least part of the reason for co-morbidity is due to the originally genetic concepts of pleiotropism, etiological heterogeneity, and penetrance. When any given risk for an illness carries risk for other illnesses as well, it is pleiotropic, e.g., an unhealthy environment is associated with increased likelihood of other diseases, not only one. When the same illness follows from exposure to any of several risk factors (e.g., the risk of hypertension is increased in the presence of smoking and/or obesity), etiological heterogeneity is occurring. When the same risks are associated with different likelihood of illness in different populations (e.g., the proportion of Japanese males who smoke is high, but it does not carry the same degree of risk of illness as it does in European males), it is known as a difference in penetrance. These three phenomena operate because the ‘causes’ of illness are multiple and interacting, and populations differing in degree of exposure to risks and in resilience to threats to health have different likelihoods of illness and multiple illnesses. Co-morbidity therefore is not distributed randomly in the population: studies have shown that more socially deprived populations have more exposures and more co-morbidity.

Co-morbidity is also increasing in frequency and magnitude over time because the rate of diagnosis of disease is rising over time. This is due to ‘disease mongering’ – a result of progressive lowering of thresholds for diagnosis as a result of the influence of disease-oriented specialists and pharmaceutical industry interest in creating new markets for their services and products.

The impact of co-morbidity is considerable. The greater the co-morbidity, the greater the costs of hospitalizations, hospitalizations for conditions that should be preventable by good primary care, and adverse event rates during hospitalizations. These increases are not linear but, rather, increase exponentially as the extent of co-morbidity rises. The use of specialist services is greater when there is more co-morbidity, both in younger people and, espe-
cluding signs and symptoms as well as all types of diagnoses) in any given time period, in a way that has implications for the need for the different extent and types of health resources. The likelihood of persistence of morbidity and its command on health services resources is the underlying basis for characterizing individual diseases into types; different combinations of these different types reflect differences in morbidity burden, which represents the degree of illness better than individual diagnoses.²

Co-morbidity can be counted and characterized. There is every reason to do so – in the interests of improving the recognition of people’s health problems, their interaction, and their appropriate management.
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